

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/562,710	TALBOT ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Roger H. Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was noted that the subject matter of the drawing 1/1 filed 1/20/06 appears to lack clear antecedent basis in the instant specification. Mr. Lee agreed to delete the drawing.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)